

From: **Wendy Li** <wli@aaas.org>
Date: Thu, Sep 29, 2022 at 12:33 PM
Subject: RE: Message from AAAS Community Support
To: JAMES ENSTROM <jenstrom@ucla.edu>

Dr. Enstrom,

We've reviewed your comment and determined that it violates our Mission and Rules of Conduct for this community. Specifically, we found it to be in violation of our general rule number 14 that stipulates: "Do not disrupt healthy, productive discussion. This means do: stay on topic, state your question or statement once and then move on. This means do not: repeat your question or statement in a combative manner, post comments unrelated to the topic or interrupt the discussion's flow."

To participate in this community, please edit and re-submit your reply so that it aligns with the AAAS Member Community Mission and Rules of Conduct. Continued violations could result in a ban from AAAS Member Community. We encourage you to familiarize yourself with our [Terms and Conditions](#), so that together we can foster a safe, inclusive forum for engagement in discussion.

We look forward to seeing more of your invaluable knowledge and perspectives. If you have any questions, please contact MemberCentral Support via the [Contact Us](#) link on the site.

Regards,

Wendy Li

Update your [email preferences](#) to choose the types of email you receive
[Unsubscribe from community emails](#)

From: JAMES ENSTROM <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2022 2:31 PM
To: Wendy Li <wli@aaas.org>
Cc: Sudip Parikh <sparikh@aaas.org>
Subject: Fwd: Message from AAAS Community Support

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear Wendy Li,

Please check the posting of my comment because I cannot find my comment on <https://members.aaas.org/communities/community-home/digestviewer/viewthread>. To clarify, I want the opinion of Dr. Parikh and/or other AAAS members regarding my concern. I already know the opinion of the Editor and Editorial Department, because they have already rejected me several times, as I stated in my comment.

Thank you very much for your assistance.

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 472-4274

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Wendy Li via American Association for the Advancement of Science**
<Mail@connectedcommunity.org>
Date: Thu, Sep 29, 2022 at 9:26 AM
Subject: Message from AAAS Community Support
To: <jenstrom@ucla.edu>

Thank you for participating in the AAAS Community!

For assistance with this matter we would encourage you to send your feedback directly to the Editorial department. To contact them for further assistance please email science_editors@aaas.org or call 202-326-6550.

Subject:RE: OSTP's new public access guidance

Message:

I support the OSTP [guidance](#) aimed at making scientific publications supported by federal funding, along with accompanying data, publicly available without an embargo. Your September 23 Editorial states "open and accessible data are essential to scientific integrity and reproducibility." However, Science has rejected every effort I have made since 2015 to publish epidemiologic evidence supporting the importance of the proposed EPA Transparency Rule. Indeed, on April 18, 2022 Science Editor-in-Chief Holden Thorp sent me an email formally rejecting any format of evidence (Article, Letter, eLetter) that I might submit that contradicts the claim the fine particulate matter causes premature deaths (<http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/ThorpJEE041822.pdf>). This rejection indicates that Science does not recognize the importance of transparency and reproducibility in environmental epidemiology and is not willing to consider or publish research findings that it does not like. Please let me know if you will personally examine my evidence and the Thorp rejection.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

James Enstrom
Professor
Los Angeles CA

Original Message:

Sent: 09-14-2022 11:44 AM

From: Sudip Parikh

Subject: OSTP's new public access guidance

AAAS Community,

The White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) recently shared [guidance](#) aimed at making scientific publications supported by federal funding, along with accompanying data, publicly available without an embargo by the end of 2025.

AAAS supports the objectives of this guidance. We are actively seeking to balance the tensions between equitable access for readers and equitable access to publishing by scientists and engineers.

If you would like to know more about our vision for public access, you may wish to read [the editorial](#) that Sudip Parikh, Bill Moran, *Science* Publisher; Shirley Malcom, director of SEA Change; and I published last Friday.

As we continue to advocate on behalf of scientists and engineers, we are eager to take the experiences of our members into account. To give you the chance to share your stories or ask questions about how this decision might affect you, your peers, or the scientific community as a whole, I am hosting a text-based Q&A event on AAAS Member Community from **11:30-12:30 p.m. ET on Thursday, September 29**.

You can post your inquiries below in advance of the event to receive email notifications when I reply if you cannot attend during that time. Click REPLY to add your question. You can also get updates on this thread by clicking the FOLLOW button in the upper right corner of the conversation.

I look forward to connecting with you here on Member Community, and to continuing to advocate on your behalf.

Sudip Parikh
Chief Executive Officer, AAAS
Arlington VA
