August 27, 2020

Pamela Brown
Vice President for Institutional Research & Academic Planning
UC Office of the President
Pamela.Brown@ucop.edu

Dear Pamela Brown,

I greatly appreciate your informative response, which explains UCOP data policy. However, it is very important for UCOP, the UC Regents, and all Californians to understand that Mr. Bleemer’s August 21 Policy Brief and UCLA Professor Richard Sander’s August 26 James G. Martin Center article (https://www.jamesgmartin.center/2020/08/whither-race-neutrality-in-california/) contain vastly different findings and conclusions regarding the impact of Proposition 209. Thus, I propose that you invite Mr. Bleemer and Professor Sander to participate via Zoom in a UCOP-organized debate that focuses on their different findings and conclusions regarding Proposition 209. During this debate, Professor Sander can make the case that he should be allowed appropriate access to the same data used by Mr. Bleemer. This debate should take place soon so that Californians can make an informed decision regarding Proposition 16 on the November 3 Ballot.

Thank you very much for your consideration of my debate request.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 472-4274

--------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Pamela Brown <Pamela.Brown@ucop.edu>
Date: Wed, Aug 26, 2020 at 5:30 PM
Subject: FW: Request for Data Sources for Policy Brief on AA, Mismatch, Prop 209
To: jenstrom@ucla.edu <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Cc: sander@law.ucla.edu <sander@law.ucla.edu>, timgroseclose@gmail.com <timgroseclose@gmail.com>, Zachary Bleemer (Contractor) <Zachary.Bleemer@ucop.edu>
Dear Professor Enstrom:

Zachary Bleemer, an intern in my office, shared with me your recent request for data referenced in his August 2020 policy brief. The data referenced in Mr. Bleemer’s policy brief is maintained by my office, the UCOP Office of Institutional Research and Academic Planning (“IRAP”). Because this data contains sensitive, personally identifiable information, access is limited to IRAP and UCOP employees such as Mr. Bleemer. Mr. Bleemer’s work on this project was performed as part of IRAP’s work for Provost Michael Brown and his office is using the work to advise The Regents and other University leaders.

Our office is staffed to provide administrative decision support for the institution and unfortunately we are not able to provide services including data sets to support student or faculty research or research by external entities. We are able to provide this type of service only in rare cases when the research is requested directly by the UC President or Provost and the research cannot be delivered by our office. Instead IRAP publishes an unprecedented amount of information on the University of California operations for research and public use through the UC Accountability Report, UC Information Center and IRAP content & analysis section of our website.

Pamela

Pamela Brown (She, Her, Hers)
Vice President for Institutional Research & Academic Planning (IRAP)
University of California, Office of the President

*Read the latest [topic briefs and in-depth IRAP research](#) 
* The [Accountability Report](#) shares the power of the University of California as an agent of social mobility, economic growth and scientific advancement.
*Explore the UC story through data using the [UC Information Center](#)
* [Sign up to receive the IRAP Bulletin](#)

--

Zach Bleemer

Institutional Research and Academic Planning
University of California Office of the President
1111 Franklin St., Oakland, CA 94607
510-987-9487 | zachary.bleemer@ucop.edu

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Date: Sun, Aug 23, 2020 at 8:00 PM
Subject: Request for Data Sources for Policy Brief on AA, Mismatch, Prop 209
To: Zackary Bleemer <bleemer@berkeley.edu>
Cc: Richard Sander <sander@law.ucla.edu>, Tim Groseclose <timgroseclose@gmail.com>
August 23, 2020

Zackary Bleemer
UC Berkeley PhD Candidate
http://zacharybleemer.com/
bleemer@berkeley.edu

Dear Mr. Bleemer,


Clearly, your Policy Brief has a direct bearing on Proposition 16 on the November 3, 2020 California Ballot. Consequently, it is very important to independently test the validity of your findings, particularly the concluding sentence in your Abstract: “These findings are inconsistent with the university ‘Mismatch Hypothesis’ and provide the first causal evidence that banning affirmative action exacerbates socioeconomic inequities.”

In order to make possible this validity test, I request that you provide UCLA “Mismatch” Professor Richard Sander, former UCLA “Cheating” Professor Tim Groseclose, and me with a copy of the same four primary data sources that you describe in Section “2.2 Data” of your Policy Brief. Particularly important is your first source, which covers all 1994-2002 California-resident freshman applicants to any University of California campus. Please contact all three of us, or at least me, as soon as possible in order to work out the details for providing us with these data sources. I will pay for any costs that are associated with preparing and sending these data sources to us.

Thank you very much for your cooperation with my important request.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH
Retired UCLA Research Professor
President, Scientific Integrity Institute
http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/
jenstrom@ucla.edu

cc: Richard Sander <sander@law.ucla.edu>, Tim Groseclose <timgroseclose@gmail.com>