From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu> Date: Tue, Jul 16, 2024 at 5:01 PM Subject: Request to Discuss Scientific Concerns About LA100 To: Nurit Katz <CommissionerKatz@ladwp.com> Cc: Nurit Katz <nkatz@fm.ucla.edu>

July 16, 2024

Commissioner Nurit Katz LADWP Board of Commissioners <u>CommissionerKatz@ladwp.com</u> UCLA Chief Sustainability Officer <u>nkatz@fm.ucla.edu</u>

Dear Commissioner Katz,

I request the opportunity to discuss with you two important issues involving LADWP. I am a physicistepidemiologist who has had a long academic career at UCLA and I am a LADWP ratepayer. First, I challenge the accuracy and objectivity of climate science used by the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi), which is described in a February 3, 2023 LADWP news item (<u>https://www.ladwpnews.com/ladwpthe-first-municipal-utility-in-north-america-to-establish-science-based-targets-to-further-itscommitment-toward-a-clean-energy-future/). I have strong evidence that there is NO climate crisis in California (<u>https://co2coalition.org/publications/an-open-letter-to-the-california-air-resourcesboard/</u>). Second, I support the concerns about LA100 described in the July 1, 2024 LADWP Ratepayer Advocate OPA Report</u>

(https://ens.lacity.org/opa/importantdoc/opaimportantdoc3249181736\_07122024.pdf).

Please let me know if you are willing to discuss these two issues with me.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH Retired UCLA Research Professor (Epidemiology) Team Member, CO2 Coalition <u>https://co2coalition.org/teammember/james-enstrom/jenstrom@ucla.edu</u> (310) 472-4274