

From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Date: July 22, 2024 at 10:00:46 AM PDT
To: Holden Thorp <hthorp@aaas.org>
Cc: Sudip S. Parikh <sparikh@aaas.org>, Andrew Black <ablack@aaas.org>, Richard Meserve <rmeserve@carnegiescience.edu>, Michael Holland <mike.holland@pitt.edu>
Subject: Re: "AAAS and Science Magazine Promote Anti-Science"

July 22, 2024

Dear Dr. Thorp,

As a follow-up to my June 28 email below, I am writing you regarding the DDP 2024 Annual Meeting (<https://www.ddponline.org/2024/04/24/ddp-2024-annual-meeting/>). Please watch my July 7 talk "AAAS and Science Magazine Promote Anti-Science" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AxQ76VtrQPU>) and the related PPT (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/DDPAAASJEE070724.pdf>). Let me know if you agree or disagree with my talk. Also, please watch the July 6 talk "Scientific Challenges of Detection and Attribution of Global Warming" by Dr. Willie Soon (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WZEfU6o7N2Q>).

Finally, please let me know if you will consider *Science* Perspectives on the following important issues: 1) Challenge to Anthropogenic Global Warming by Dr. Willie Soon, 2) Challenge to Linear No Threshold Dose-Response Model by Dr. Edward Calabrese, and 3) Challenge to Claim that Fine Particulate Matter Causes Premature Deaths by myself. Unless I receive a positive response from you, I will assume that *Science* will not consider such Perspectives.

Thank you very much for your consideration and response.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 427-4274

----- Forwarded message -----

From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Date: Fri, Jun 28, 2024 at 9:40 AM
Subject: "AAAS and Science Magazine Promote Anti-science"
To: Holden Thorp <hthorp@aaas.org>

June 28, 2024

H. Holden Thorp, PhD
Editor-in-Chief, *Science Journals*
hthorp@aaas.org
(202) 326-6505

Dear Dr. Thorp,

On July 7, 2024, I will give a talk “AAAS and *Science* Magazine Promote Anti-Science.” Two of my examples of this anti-science are 1) my evidence of research misconduct in *Science* (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Henneman030524.pdf>), which you have refused to investigate (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Henneman031124.pdf>), and 2) “LNTgate: How LNT benefited from editorial actions [by *Science*]” (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2022.109979>).

In the interest of scientific dialog, I offer you the opportunity to make a comment via Zoom regarding the accuracy of my talk. If you accept my offer, I will send you further details about my talk and explain how you can make your comment.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH
Retired UCLA Research Professor (Epidemiology)
President, Scientific Integrity Institute
<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org>
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 472-4274