

Date: Mon, 17 Sep 2012 07:39:58 -0700  
To: "Rob S. McConnell" <rmcconne@usc.edu>  
From: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu>  
Subject: Follow-up Request re Draft 2012 AQMP Appendix I  
Cc: "Jonathan M. Samet" <jsamet@usc.edu>

September 17, 2012

Dear Dr. McConnell,

I am resending my August 25, 2012 email message to make sure that you received it. Please address the issues raised in my August 25, 2012 email message and in my August 30, 2012 comments to AQMD on the Draft 2012 AQMP Appendix I Health Effects (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/AQMP083012.pdf>). In particular, focus on my concern that AQMD Advisory Council members have not properly peer reviewed the "report on the health impacts of particulate matter air pollution in the South Coast Air Basin." Address my concern about the validity of your comment "In general, I think this is a good summary drawing on the key studies and reviews conducted as the foundation for regulatory decisions by EPA staff and CARB." My comments were formally submitted to AQMD on August 31, 2012 but they are not addressed in the September 7, 2012 Revised Draft Appendix I (<http://www.aqmd.gov/aqmp/2012aqmp/RevisedDraft/AppI.pdf>).

As you well know, there has been an intense ongoing scientific controversy over particulate matter health effects for almost twenty years. One recent forum that focused on this controversy was the February 26, 2010 CARB Symposium "Estimating Premature Deaths from Long-term Exposure to PM2.5" ([http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/health/pm-mort/pm-mort-ws\\_02-26-10.htm](http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/health/pm-mort/pm-mort-ws_02-26-10.htm)). This Symposium was facilitated by USC Professor Jonathan M. Samet. Unfortunately, since February 2010, several major UC scientists involved in the controversy, including the UCLA member of the AQMD Advisory Council, have not helped resolve the controversy. Instead, they have retaliated against me by attempting to terminate my career at UCLA (<http://thefire.org/article/14576.html>).

Because of related AQMD deadlines, I request some type of response from you before September 20, 2012. Unless I receive a response from you stating otherwise, then I will assume that your July 9, 2012 email message to AQMD Health Effects Officer Jean Ospital regarding Draft Appendix I constitutes what you consider to be a complete and accurate peer review of the "report on the health impacts of particulate matter air pollution in the South Coast Air Basin," as per California Health and Safety Code Section 40471 (b) (<http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=hsc&group=40001-41000&file=40460-40471>).

Thank you very much for consideration of this important request. To better understand the importance of this request, please read the August 31, 2012 Southern California Business Coalition (SCBC) comment letter to AQMD (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/SCBC083112.pdf>).

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.  
UCLA School of Public Health  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772  
jenstrom@ucla.edu  
(310) 825-2048

Date: Sat, 25 Aug 2012 08:30:34 -0700  
To: "Rob S. McConnell" <rmcconne@usc.edu>  
From: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu>  
Subject: Request re Draft 2012 SCAQMD AQMP Appendix I

August 25, 2012

Rob S. McConnell, M.D.  
Professor and PI, Children's Health Study  
USC Department of Preventive Medicine  
rmcconne@usc.edu

Dear Dr. McConnell,

I am an epidemiologist at UCLA who is interested in the health effects of air pollution. I am writing regarding the South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD) Draft 2012 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) (<http://www.aqmd.gov/aqmp/2012aqmp/index.htm>). I notice that you, as a member of the 2012 AQMD Advisory Council, submitted comments regarding the Appendix I "Health Effects" (<http://www.aqmd.gov/aqmp/2012aqmp/draft/Appendices/AppxI.pdf>). Please let me know if you believe that Appendix I accurately and comprehensively describes the health impacts of particulate matter air pollution in the South Coast Air Basin. Also, please let me know if there is anything not already mentioned in Appendix I that should be brought to the attention of AQMD before it finalizes the AQMP. It would be most helpful to me if you could send your response by August 29, 2012.

Thank you very much for your prompt consideration of my request.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.  
UCLA School of Public Health  
jenstrom@ucla.edu  
(310) 825-2048