

From: **James E. Enstrom** <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Date: Mon, May 20, 2024 at 10:00 AM
Subject: Flawed Science Articles re PM2.5 Deaths and LNT
To: Richard Meserve <rmeserve@carnegiescience.edu>

May 20, 2024

Richard A. Meserve, PhD, JD
President Emeritus
Carnegie Institution for Science
rmeserve@carnegiescience.edu

Dear Dr. Meserve,

I am writing you because you are Chair of the Health Effects Institute Board of Directors (<https://www.healtheffects.org/about/board>), an Advisory Council Member of the AAAS Center for Scientific Evidence in Public Issues (<https://www.aaas.org/programs/epi-center/advisory-council>), and a former Nuclear Regulatory Commission Chairman (<https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/organization/commission/former-commissioners/meserve.html>). I have extensive evidence that *Science* has published seriously flawed articles supporting PM2.5-related deaths (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Henneman030524.pdf>) and the Linear No Threshold (LNT) Model (DOI: [10.1016/j.cbi.2022.109979](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2022.109979)). However, AAAS and the *Science* Editor-in-Chief have refused to investigate the evidence of serious flaws regarding PM2.5 deaths (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Henneman031124.pdf>) and the LNT Model (DOI: [10.1007/s12129-017-9660-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12129-017-9660-6)). The validity of PM2.5 deaths and the LNT Model is highly relevant to the integrity of *Science*, HEI, NRC, EPA, and overall US regulatory policy. Thus, I request your help in investigating this evidence and/or providing a forum in which this evidence can be presented and objectively debated.

Thank you very much for your assistance with this important request.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH
Retired UCLA Research Professor (Epidemiology)
President, Scientific Integrity Institute
[http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/
jenstrom@ucla.edu](http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/jenstrom@ucla.edu)
(310) 472-4274