

Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 14:21:29 -0800
To: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
From: Ron Miech <rjm@math.ucla.edu>
Subject: Re: Fwd: Grievance Information

I think you should contact him directly. I think it best that I not say anything. Let him review your case and come to his own conclusions

At 12:01 PM 12/8/03 -0800, you wrote:

Thank you very much for this information. Should I contact Professor Goldstein directly now or should you give him some background on my situation first?

Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 11:21:33 -0800
To: jenstrom@ucla.edu
From: Ron Miech <rjm@math.ucla.edu>
Subject: Fwd: Grievance Information

I think that Goldstein is the one to see

Subject: Grievance Information
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 10:33:46 -0800
Priority: Urgent
Importance: high
From: "Todhunter, Fiona" <ftodhunter@conet.ucla.edu>
To: <rjm@math.ucla.edu>

Dear Professor Miech: the person who would handle such a grievance is:

Professor Robert Goldstein

Special Assistant for Grievances to Vice Chancellor Vredevoe

3109 Murphy Hall

T. 44217

Fiona
Fiona Todhunter
Assistant to Vice Chancellor, Academic Personnel
2138 Murphy Hall
Box 951405
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1405
Campus Mail 140501
T 310.206.9345
F 310 206 6030
ftodhunter@conet.ucla.edu

Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 10:11:12 -0800
To: jenstrom@ucla.edu
From: Ron Miech <rjm@math.ucla.edu>
Subject: Further Contacts

Sorry this is taking so long.

At any rate, there seems to be a set-up for reviewing grievances of non-senate perosnnel. I talked to someone in Vredevoe's office who said she would find out the specifics and let me know who you are to contact. She also said she would try to get back to me today and, supposing she does, will let you know about her response. If I do not get a response by tomorrow at 1 I will call her again

Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 15:10:12 -0800
To: jenstrom@ucla.edu
From: Ron Miech <rjm@math.ucla.edu>
Subject: I am calling people,

waiting for them to respond.. Perhaps we could meet tomorrow to try to try formulate a specific grievance (or grievances)

Date: Tue, 25 Nov 2003 14:03:56 -0800
To: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
From: Ron Miech <rjm@math.ucla.edu>
Subject: Re: Received the second year continuation request

message received. will be gone until monday. talk to you then

At 01:44 PM 11/25/03 -0800, you wrote:

At 12:49 PM 11/25/2003 -0800, you wrote:

Is there any written (paper, e-mail would do) reply from Philip Morris?

Right after I submitted my 2nd year renewal request to Philip Morris in early August, Dr. Bastani informed me for the first time that the School of Public Health would not allow a 2nd year renewal of my grant. Thus, PM did not process 2nd year renewal request, because I asked them instead to process a No Cost Time Extension of the 1st year award while I attempted further to get the 2nd year renewal. I have listed below a key email that describes the NCTE that has been processed by UCLA. There are other emails and documents, but I would like to talk to you before you have any further contact with Dr. Bastani.

Thank you for your assistance and consideration.

Jim Enstrom
825-2048

From: Richard.R.Izac@pmusa.com
To: jenstrom@ucla.edu
Subject: RE: No-cost extension for "Smoking and Mortality Based on National Surveys"
Date: Thu, 21 Aug 2003 12:27:25 -0400

Dear Jim,

You can request an extension letter if needed. Does November 1st look like a reasonable end date for your request? We will send an amendment to the Agreement to your administration for signature.

Regards,
Richard

-----Original Message-----

From: James E. Enstrom [<mailto:jenstrom@ucla.edu>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2003 5:21 PM
To: Richard.R.Izac@pmusa.com
Subject: RE: No-cost extension for "Smoking and Mortality Based on National Surveys"

Dear Richard,

Thank you for clarifying your policy on carrying funds forward. I would be pleased to receive a two-month extension for now, if I can still request an additional extension if necessary. During this two month period we can determine if it is possible to negotiate an External Research Agreement for the second year of this project.

Let me know if this is an acceptable approach.

Best regards,

Jim

At 04:20 PM 8/20/2003 -0400, you wrote:

>Dear Jim,

>

> I read the request and wanted to make sure you wish a one year
>extension at this time and to clarify some budget issues you may have. You
>will not loose any funding when you renew. Our contracts simply extend the
>time and add both year's funding together. The compensation will list the
>aggregate amount and you will be able to carry forward the first years
>budget. Your administration will manage the carry over and continue to
>invoice us for the second year's funding.

>

>Regards,

>Richard