

From: James E. Enstrom [mailto:jenstrom@ucla.edu]

Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 1:00 PM

To: 'Gary M. Reedy' <kelly.hicks@cancer.org>

Cc: 'W. Ryan Diver' <ryan.diver@cancer.org>; 'Susan P. Gapstur' <susan.gapstur@cancer.org>; 'C. Arden Pope III' <cap3@byu.edu>

Subject: Repeat Request for Analysis of PM2.5 & Mortality in CPS II

October 13, 2017


Dear Mr. Reedy,

I am writing you again on this special day because I have not received a response to my August 17 email message below. I repeat my request for a response from Mr. W. Ryan Diver and/or Dr. Susan P. Gapstur confirming or refuting my March 28 *Dose-Response* findings of NO relationship between fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and total mortality in the ACS CPS II cohort. In addition, I invite them and BYU Professor C. Arden Pope, III, to present any evidence that challenges the validity of my CPS II findings at the November 9 America First Energy Conference in Houston, Texas (<http://americafirstenergy.org/about/>). I will present my March 28 findings, as well as additional new evidence, showing NO relationship between PM2.5 and total mortality in the CPS II cohort and I will give them an opportunity to present any contradictory evidence.

If I receive no response to this message, then I will assume that this matter does not concern you or the leadership of ACS.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "James E. Enstrom". The signature is written in a cursive style and is enclosed within a thin black rectangular border.

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.

From: James E. Enstrom [mailto:jenstrom@ucla.edu]
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2017 8:30 AM
To: 'Gary M. Reedy' <kelly.hicks@cancer.org>
Subject: Request for Analysis of PM2.5 & Mortality in CPS II

August 17, 2017

Gary M. Reedy, CEO
American Cancer Society
250 Williams Street, Suite 600
Atlanta, GA 30303-1002
c/o Kelly Hicks, Senior EA
kelly.hicks@cancer.org

Dear Mr. Reedy,


I am writing you regarding a very important epidemiologic issue that involves the 1982 ACS Cancer Prevention Study (CPS II) cohort. I request your assistance because I have received no cooperation from Vice President of Epidemiology Susan M. Gapstur or Epidemiology Data Analysis Core Director W. Ryan Diver. On March 23 I made a compelling case that there is no causal relationship between fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and total mortality (<http://climateconferences.heartland.org/james-enstrom-iccc10-panel-8/>). My case is based largely on my independent analysis of the CPS II cohort, which was published on March 28 in a peer-reviewed journal (<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1559325817693345>). During the past five months, Dr. Gapstur and Mr. Diver have continuously refused to confirm or refute my null CPS II evidence. They did not accept my invitation to participate in my August 12 presentation, where I showed that CPS II data has been used since 1995 to deliberately exaggerate and misrepresent the PM2.5-mortality relationship (<http://www.ddponline.org/>).

Thus, I request that you and/or an appropriate ACS official review my March 28 *Dose-Response* article, including all 27 references, and then produce transparent results that either confirm or refute my CPS II evidence. This can be done in a few days if ACS epidemiologists will simply perform the appropriate calculations and report their results. CPS II results have played major roles in the establishment and tightening of the US EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for PM2.5. In turn, the PM2.5 NAAQS has been used to justify many multi-billion dollar regulations that many experts like myself believe are not scientifically justified. We want these regulations immediately reassessed as per Presidential Executive Order 13777 (<https://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/regulatory-reform>) and the HONEST Act (<https://www.govtrack.us/congress/bills/115/hr1430>).

Please let me know if you need any clarification of my request or additional information. Because of the national significance of this matter, I have informed several scientific colleagues, as well as several appropriate Congressional staff members and US EPA officials of this message.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and assistance.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "James E. Enstrom". The signature is written in a cursive style and is contained within a thin black rectangular border.

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute
907 Westwood Boulevard #200
Los Angeles, CA 90024-2904
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 472-4274