

February 26, 2016

Alexander S. Raikhel, Ph.D.
Distinguished Professor of Entomology
University of California, Riverside
alexander.raikhel@ucr.edu

Dear Professor Raikhel,

I am writing to you (<http://newsroom.ucr.edu/2080>) and four other UC Riverside Distinguished Professors: Xuemei Chen (<https://ucrtoday.ucr.edu/14274>), James H. Dieterich (<http://www.geotimes.org/june03/profiles.html>), William A. Jury (<http://newsroom.ucr.edu/596>), and Natasha V. Raikhel (<http://ucrtoday.ucr.edu/5777>). This email letter regards National Academy of Sciences (NAS) President-Elect and *Science* Editor-in-Chief Marcia K. McNutt (<http://www.aaas.org/news/science-editor-chief-marcia-mcnutt-elected-president-national-academy-sciences>) and NAS Home Secretary Susan R. Wessler (<http://newsroom.ucr.edu/2220>).

Please read or reread the December 9, 2015 National Association of Scholars letter “Concerns About National Academy of Sciences and Scientific Dissent” (https://www.nas.org/articles/nas_letter), which you received last year. For other important details, please read my attached February 12, 2016 email letter to Dr. Wessler and my attached February 16, 2016 “Summary of Findings to Date Regarding Marcia K. McNutt, *Science*, and National Academy of Sciences, and Their Suppression of Scientific Dissent.”

The scientific misconduct regarding LNT, PM2.5, and AGW described above has an adverse impact on the greater Riverside area and California. This impact is explained in my August 31, 2015 email letter to UC Academic Senate Chair J. Daniel Hare regarding illegal appointments to and illegal actions by the Scientific Review Panel (SRP) on Toxic Air Contaminants (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/UCASSRP083115.pdf>). The next illegal SRP meeting is on March 4, 2016 and involves UC Riverside Distinguished Professor Sarjeet S. Gill.

Because Drs. McNutt and Wessler have refused to take any action regarding strong evidence of scientific misconduct, I request that you and the above NAS members peer-review and speak out on this evidence as part of your public service obligations as Distinguished UC Professors. For my part, I have been informing Congressional leaders and California taxpayers about the adverse socioeconomic impact of the Lysenko pseudoscience involving LNT, PM2.5, and AGW and the associated illegal EPA, CARB, and SCAQMD regulations, like “California’s Diesel Rule Scam.”

Thank you very much for your consideration of this important request.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute
[http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/
jenstrom@ucla.edu](http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/jenstrom@ucla.edu)
(310) 472-4274

cc: UCR NAS Xuemei Chen <xuemei.chen@ucr.edu>
UCR NAS James H. Dieterich <james.dieterich@ucr.edu>
UCR NAS William A. Jury <william.jury@ucr.edu>
UCR NAS Natasha V. Raikhel <natasha.raikhel@ucr.edu>
UCR NAS Susan R. Wessler <susan.wessler@ucr.edu>
UCR SRP Sarjeet S. Gill <sarjeet.gill@ucr.edu>
UCR SRP Craig V. Byus <craig.byus@ucr.edu>
UCR SRP Roger Atkinson <roger.atkinson@ucr.edu>
UCR CARB SCAQMD Ronald O. Loveridge <ronald.loveridge@ucr.edu>
UCR UCAS J. Daniel Hare <daniel.hare@ucr.edu>
UCR Chancellor Kim A. Wilcox <chancellor@ucr.edu>
UCR NSF France A. Córdova <fcordova@nsf.gov>
UCI NAS Ralph J. Cicerone <rcicerone@nas.edu>
UCOP NAS Bruce B. Darling <bdarling@nas.edu>
UCSD *Science* NAS Marcia K. McNutt <mmcnutt@aaas.org>
UCB AAAS Geraldine L. Richmond <richmond@uoregon.edu>

February 12, 2016

Susan R. Wessler, Ph.D.
Distinguished Professor of Genetics
Department of Botany and Plant Sciences
University of California, Riverside
susan.wessler@ucr.edu

Dear Professor Wessler,

I am sending you this email letter because you refused to speak with me when I called you this morning. Today is an important reminder that President Abraham Lincoln and the thirty-eighth Congress created the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) on March 3, 1963 for the purpose of “*providing independent, objective advice to the nation on matters related to science and technology*”. I am confident that President Lincoln and the thirty-eighth Congress would be shocked to learn that, in the year of a U.S. Presidential election, NAS has just conducted a secret Soviet-style election of a Lysenko-like NAS President. They would be further shocked to learn that the NAS President-Elect is actively engaged in the suppression of dissent on three scientific issues of great importance to the nation and even greater importance to California.

Thus, concerned scientists like myself are making extensive efforts to inform the current Congress and the general public about the three scientific issues, the suppression of scientific dissent, and NAS. For instance, on February 8, 2016, Dr. Peter Wood met with five key staff members of the U.S. House Committee on Science, Space, and Technology about his December 9, 2015 National Association of Scholars letter (https://www.nas.org/articles/nas_letter) and my attached February 8, 2016 “Summary of Findings to Date Regarding Marcia K. McNutt, *Science*, and National Academy of Sciences, and Their Suppression of Scientific Dissent.”

For your information, I am so passionate about honesty and integrity in science because I was trained by the fourth graduate of the Bronx High School of Science to win the Nobel Prize. Like his parents, my parents instilled in me “two qualities which became the foundation of my personal and professional life. One is an unbounded sense of optimism; the other is a strong feeling as to the importance of using one's mind for the betterment of mankind.” You should learn more about me by watching this five-minute May 17, 2015 YouTube (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MqazxtsN0t0>).

Please speak with me about ways in which you, a UC Professor with public service obligations, can help the greater Riverside area and California by promoting scientific integrity at UC, CARB, and SCAQMD regarding LNT, PM2.5, and AGW. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute
<http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/>
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 472-4274

Summary of Findings to Date Regarding Marcia K. McNutt, *Science*, and National Academy of Sciences, and Their Connection to Suppression of Scientific Dissent

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.

February 16, 2016

Incestuous relationships exist between *Science*, AAAS, NAS, and California. The last five *Science* Editors-in-Chief dating back to 1985 (McNutt, Alberts, Kennedy, Bloom, Koshland) are NAS and AAAS members with careers in California; Alberts was also NAS President; new AAAS President Schaal selected NAS President-Elect McNutt; AAAS Board is dominated by UC faculty or graduates; NAS President Cicerone and NAS Executive Officer Darling had long careers at UC and they know about the liberal domination of UC and California State Agencies and the extreme regulatory policies in California.

NAS officials (Cicerone, Darling, and Hinchman) and key NAS members (Schaal and Wessler) have refused to release any details about the January election of McNutt, the only candidate for new NAS President. They have refused to identify all members of the Presidential Nomination Committee, the number of votes for and against McNutt, or the total number of votes by state.

Of the 2,095 active U.S. members of NAS, 618 (29.5%) are from CA, 823 (39.3%) are from five other liberal states (MA, NY, NJ, MD, IL), and there are only 138 (6.6%) from the 24 states with 1-14 members each, and 8 states have no members. Based on public information about 113 NAS members in Los Angeles County, NAS is overwhelmingly and increasingly dominated by Democrats. Among 61 members born before 1945, 14.8% are Republicans; among 52 members born since 1945, 7.7% are Republicans. Of the 255 NAS members who signed the May 7, 2010 *Science* 'delay must not be an option' letter entitled "Climate Change and the Integrity of Science," all ten Los Angeles County signers are Democrats and presumably almost all of the other 245 signers are Democrats.

Only two of the ~600 NAS members who received the December 9, 2015 National Association of Scholars letter have expressed concern about McNutt or suppression of scientific dissent on three important regulatory-related issues (LNT, PM2.5, AGW), which are described in the letter. These two members have experienced retaliation because of their "politically incorrect" views on other scientific issues. One of them stated "Dissenting voices and scientifically well-supported warnings are not appreciated. I suspect that the current system is too big and powerful to change, and I fear for the future of my grandchildren." NAS member Lindzen has published evidence that environmental activists like Cicerone, Holdren, Hanson, and Gleick, were admitted to NAS via a special ad hoc committee. NAS member Goodman has just published evidence that USGS Director McNutt failed to investigate his 2012 misconduct complaint. Additional evidence challenging the objectivity of McNutt is forthcoming.

McNutt issued a February 5, 2016 retraction of the May 7, 2004 *Science* Report by Lina A. Gugliotti and May 28, 2015 retraction of the December 12, 2014 *Science* Report by Michael LaCour. However, she absolutely refuses to peer-review or investigate in any way the massive evidence submitted to her since June 2015 of scientific misconduct regarding three *Science* papers involving LNT, PM2.5, and AGW. If *Science* and/or qualified NAS members peer-reviewed this misconduct evidence, confirmed that is valid, and published it, this evidence could lead to major changes in U.S. environmental regulatory policy, primarily coming from EPA.

Since McNutt, *Science*, and NAS refuse to evaluate or publish evidence of *Science*-related misconduct, the broader scientific community, the general public, and Congress must evaluate this evidence. Once this misconduct evidence is confirmed, McNutt, *Science*, and NAS must be held accountable for their failure to evaluate and publish it.